

# INTRODUCTION: BASICS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL

## QUANTUM INFORMATION THEORY

### §1. Quantum systems and quantum states

A quantum system is a physical system with one or more quantum-mechanical degrees of freedom that are either discrete or continuous:

- position and momentum of a particle
- spin of a particle (e.g., spin along  $z$ -axis of an electron)
- polarization of a photon

.....

Motivating example: spin of an electron

Two possible "basis states": spin up ( $\uparrow$ ), spin down ( $\downarrow$ )

Assign to each vectors in a vector space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  known as state space:

$$|\uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Superposition principle (experimentally confirmed): quantum system can

be prepared in a state  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |\uparrow\rangle + \beta |\downarrow\rangle$ ,

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ .

The probabilities of finding electron in spin-up or spin-down are given

by  $\text{Pr}(\uparrow) = |\langle \uparrow | \psi \rangle|^2 = |\alpha|^2$  and  $\text{Pr}(\downarrow) = |\langle \downarrow | \psi \rangle|^2 = |\beta|^2$ .

Now formally:

1) The **state space** describing a quantum system is given by a **Hilbert space**, a complex inner-product space that is complete.

We restrict our attention to finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathbb{C}^d$ .

2) **Observable quantities** are represented by **Hermitian operators**

$$A \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X}) = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) : X^\dagger = X\}.$$

The real eigenvalues of  $A$  can be measured in an experiment.

3) A state of a quantum system assigns an expectation value to observables, that is, it describes the expected measurement statistics of an observable in a quantum system.

We identify states with **density operators**  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  satisfying:

·) **positivity**:  $\rho \geq 0$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \langle \varphi | \rho | \varphi \rangle \geq 0 \forall |\varphi\rangle \in \mathcal{X}$ )

·) **normalization**:  $\text{tr} \rho = 1$ .

The expectation of an observable  $A$  w.r.t. a state  $\rho$  is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_\rho = \text{tr}(\rho A).$$

The set of density matrices of a finite-dim. Hilbert space is **convex** and **compact**. That is, if  $\rho_i$  are density matrices and  $\lambda_i$  probabilities,

then  $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i$  is also a density matrix.

4) A **pure state** is an extreme point in the convex set of density matrices, that is, it cannot be written non-trivially as  $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i$ .

A pure density matrix has **rank 1** and can be written as a projector  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  for some vector  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \text{tr} \rho = 1$ ).

$|\psi\rangle$  is also often called a **pure state** or **state vector**.

A density matrix (state) that is **not pure** is called **mixed**.

5) A collection of state vectors  $(|\psi_i\rangle)_i$  with probabilities  $(p_i)_i$  is called a **pure-state ensemble** for a mixed state  $\rho$  if

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|.$$

Every mixed state has infinitely many pure-state ensembles realizing it.

Particularly useful: **spectral decomposition**  $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |\nu_i\rangle\langle\nu_i|$ ,

where  $(\lambda_i)_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $\rho$  and  $\{|\nu_i\rangle\}$  is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $\rho$ :  $\rho|\nu_i\rangle = \lambda_i|\nu_i\rangle$ .

6) Because  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$ , we have  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ .

Hence, the **eigenvalues** of a density matrix form a **probability distribution**, thus generalizing "classical" states.

## § 2. Measurements

**Projective measurements:** Let  $A$  be an observable on a quantum system  $\mathcal{H}$  in the state  $\rho$ . Consider the spectral decomposition

$$A = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} P_{\alpha},$$

where  $x_{\alpha}$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $P_{\alpha}$  are the orthogonal projectors onto the corresponding eigenspaces.

They satisfy: a)  $P_{\alpha} \geq 0$  (in particular  $P_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = P_{\alpha}$ )

$$b) P_{\alpha} P_{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P_{\alpha}$$

$$c) \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} = \mathbb{1}.$$

$\{P_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$  is called a **projective measurement**, that gives the value  $x_{\alpha}$  with probability  $p_{\alpha} = \text{tr}(\rho P_{\alpha})$ .

For the  $p_{\alpha}$  to be probabilities, we only need a) and b) above!

This is a generalized notion of measurement,

$$\{E_k\}_k \text{ with } E_k \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_k E_k = \mathbb{1},$$

called a **positive operator-valued measure (POVM)**. The  $E_k$  are often called effect operators. The outcome " $k$ " is obtained with probability  $p_k = \text{tr}(\rho E_k)$ .

### §3. Composite systems and entanglement

Consider two quantum systems  $A$  and  $B$  with associated Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$ . The joint system  $AB$  is described by the tensor product  $\mathcal{H}_{AB} := \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ .

Density matrices:  $\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$

The marginal state  $\rho_A$  of a bipartite state  $\rho_{AB}$  is defined via

$$\text{tr}(\rho_{AB} (X_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B)) = \text{tr}(\rho_A X_A) \quad \forall X_A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A). \quad (*)$$

This uniquely defines a linear map  $\text{tr}_B: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{AB}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  called the **partial trace**. Choosing some ONB  $\{|e_i\rangle_B\}_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{H}_B|}$  for  $\mathcal{H}_B$ ,

$$\text{tr}_B X_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{H}_B|} (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \langle e_i |_B) X_{AB} (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes |e_i\rangle_B)$$

The equation (\*) shows that the marginal  $\rho_A$  describes the **effective state** of system  $A$  when doing a local measurement.

We distinguish different **types of correlations** between  $A$  and  $B$ :

1) **Product states**:  $\rho_{AB} = \omega_A \otimes \sigma_B$  for states  $\omega_A$  and  $\sigma_B$ .

In a product state, any local measurements do not depend on

the other system, hence  $A$  and  $B$  are completely uncorrelated.

2) Separable states:  $\rho_{AB} = \sum_i p_i \omega_A^{(i)} \otimes \sigma_B^{(i)}$  for states  $(\omega_A^{(i)})$  and  $(\sigma_B^{(i)})$ ; and a probability distribution  $(p_i)_i$ .

Separable states describe classical correlation between A and B corresponding to the index  $i$ . Conditioned on this value  $i$ , the state  $\omega_A^{(i)} \otimes \sigma_B^{(i)}$  is uncorrelated.

3) Entangled states are states that are not separable.

They describe quantum correlations.

Ex.: Let  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and consider

$$|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B),$$

called EPR state, Bell state, or maximally entangled state.

$$\rho^+ = |\phi^+\rangle \langle \phi^+| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is not separable.}$$

Note: A pure separable state is automatically a product state.

Detecting separability is generally hard!

It is NP-hard to decide whether a given mixed state is separable.

However, for pure states there is a nice (and efficient) criterion based on the singular value decomposition.

## Prop (Schmidt decomposition)

Let  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  be a pure bipartite quantum state. Then there are sets of orthonormal vectors  $\{|e_i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^r$  and  $\{|f_j\rangle_B\}_{j=1}^r$  and strictly positive real numbers  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^r$  such that

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} |e_i\rangle_A \otimes |f_i\rangle_B.$$

The **Schmidt coefficients**  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^r$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = 1$ , and are unique up to reordering. The integer  $r$  is called **Schmidt rank** of  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ .

$|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  is entangled iff  $r > 1$ . The marginals of  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  are given by

$$\rho_A = \text{tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|_A$$

$$\rho_B = \text{tr}_A \rho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |f_i\rangle\langle f_i|_B.$$

These are spectral decompositions, i.e.,  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  have the same spectrum given by the Schmidt coefficients, and the **Schmidt vectors**  $\{|e_i\rangle_A\}$  and  $\{|f_j\rangle_B\}$  can be completed to eigenbases of  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$ , resp.

Proof sketch: Consider ONBs  $\{|v_i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^{|A|}$  and  $\{|w_j\rangle_B\}_{j=1}^{|B|}$ , and

expand  $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} |v_i\rangle_A \otimes |w_j\rangle_B$ . All claims now follow from

the singular value decomposition of the matrix  $X$  with coefficients  $x_{ij}$ .

□

## Def (Purification)

Let  $\rho_A$  be a mixed quantum state. Any state  $|\psi\rangle_{AR} \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$  satisfying  $\text{tr}_R \rho_{AR} = \rho_A$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_R$  is some auxiliary Hilbert space, is called a purification of  $\rho_A$ .

Prop Let  $\rho_A$  be a mixed quantum state.

- i) A purification of  $\rho$  exists on  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$ , where  $\dim \mathcal{H}_R \geq \text{rank } \rho_A$ .
- ii) Let  $|\psi\rangle_{AR_1}$  and  $|\psi\rangle_{AR_2}$  be two purifications of  $\rho_A$ , and w.l.o.g. assume  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{R_1} \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_{R_2}$ . Then there exists an isometry

$$V: \mathcal{H}_{R_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R_2} \text{ s.t. } |\psi\rangle_{AR_2} = (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes V) |\psi\rangle_{AR_1}.$$

Proof: i) Consider a spectral decomposition  $\rho_A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|_A$ , where  $\lambda_i > 0$  s.t.  $n = \text{rank}(\rho_A)$ . Take  $\mathcal{H}_R = \mathbb{C}^n$  with ONB  $\{|w_i\rangle_R\}_{i=1}^n$  then  $|\psi\rangle_{AR} := \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} |v_i\rangle_A \otimes |w_i\rangle_R$  is the desired purification.

ii) Follows easily from Schmidt decomposition.  $\square$

## § 4. Distance measures

There are many ways of measuring how close quantum states are, which is important because we want to quantify approximations.

Here, we focus on two measures: fidelity and trace norm.



First, we define the trace norm of a linear operator:

$$X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}): \|X\|_1 = \text{tr} \sqrt{X^\dagger X} = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i(X)$$

where  $d = \dim \mathcal{X}$  and  $s_i(X)$  are the singular values of  $X$ .

If  $X$  is Hermitian with real eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ , then  $\|X\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |\lambda_i|$ .

$\|\cdot\|_1$  is a norm in the mathematical sense.

### Def (Trace distance)

Let  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  be quantum states on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then their trace distance is defined as  $D(\rho, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$ .

### Properties of the trace distance:

- 1)  $D(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric, i.e., non-neg., symmetric, and satisfies the triangle inequality.
- 2)  $0 \leq D(\rho, \sigma) \leq 1$ , and  $D(\rho, \sigma) = 0 \iff \rho = \sigma$   
and  $D(\rho, \sigma) = 1 \iff \text{supp } \rho \perp \text{supp } \sigma$  (where  $\text{supp } X := (\ker X)^\perp$ )
- 3)  $D(\rho, \sigma) = D(U\rho U^\dagger, U\sigma U^\dagger)$  for all unitaries  $U$ , and  
 $D(\rho_A, \sigma_A) \leq D(\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB})$ .
- 4)  $D(\rho, \sigma) = \sup \{ \text{tr}[P(\rho - \sigma)] : P \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{1} - P \geq 0. \}$
- 5)  $D(\rho, \sigma)$  is related to the max. probability of distinguishing  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ .

## Def (Fidelity)

The fidelity  $F(\rho, \sigma)$  of quantum states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  is defined as

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \|\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma}\|_1 = \text{tr}(\sigma^{1/2} \rho \sigma^{1/2})^{1/2}.$$

### Properties of the fidelity:

1)  $0 \leq F(\rho, \sigma) \leq 1$ , and  $F(\rho, \sigma) = 1$  iff  $\rho = \sigma$ ,

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = 0 \text{ iff } \text{supp } \rho \perp \text{supp } \sigma.$$

2)  $F(\rho, \sigma) = F(\sigma, \rho)$ , but  $F$  is not a metric.

3)  $F(\rho, \sigma) = F(U \rho U^\dagger, U \sigma U^\dagger)$  for all unitaries  $U$ ,

$$\text{and } F(\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB}) \leq F(\rho_A, \sigma_A).$$

4)  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  is jointly concave:  $F(\sum_i p_i \rho_i, \sum_i p_i \sigma_i) \geq \sum_i p_i F(\rho_i, \sigma_i)$ .

5) For pure states  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $|\varphi\rangle$ ,  $F(\psi, \varphi) = |\langle \psi | \varphi \rangle|$ .

6) Uhlmann's theorem:

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \max \{ |\langle \psi^\rho | \psi^\sigma \rangle| : |\psi^\rho\rangle \text{ purifies } \rho, |\psi^\sigma\rangle \text{ pur. } \sigma \}.$$

## Prop (Fuchs-van de Graaf inequalities)

For any two quantum states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ ,

$$1 - F(\rho, \sigma) \leq D(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{1 - F(\rho, \sigma)^2}.$$